

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, } Case No.: EDCR 12-50 - VAP  
11 v. Plaintiff, } ORDER OF DETENTION  
12 *VERONICA PARADA* } (FED.R. CRIM. P.32.1(a)(6); 18  
13 Defendant. } U.S.C. § 3143(a))  
14

15 The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant  
16 issued by the United States District Court for the Central  
17 District of California for alleged violation(s) of the terms and  
18 conditions of probation or supervised release; and

19 Having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal  
20 Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), the Court finds that:

21 A.  The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and  
22 convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under  
23 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following:

- 24 • UNKNOWN BACKGROUND / COMMUNITY TIES
- 25 • UNKNOWN BAIL RESOURCES
- 26 • ALLEGATION OF ABSCONDING

1 and/or

2 B. ( ) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and  
3 convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the  
4 safety of any other person or the community if released under 18  
5 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following:

---

---

---

---

6

7

8

9

10


11

12

13 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the further  
14 revocation proceedings.

15

Dated: 1/28/13



HONORABLE DAVID T. BRISTOW  
United States Magistrate Judge

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28